arXiv:math/0603282v1 [math.SG] 13 Mar 2006

Hamilton-Arnold Chord On Stable Hypersurface

Renyi Ma
Department of Mathematics
Tsinghua University
Beijing, 100084
People's Republic of China
rma@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

Abstract

In this article, we prove that there exists at least one chord orbit of Hamilton vector field connecting the Legendre submanifold on a given energy stable hypersurface in some symplectic manifolds.

Démonstration de existence de chord orbital de hamiltonien en une stable hypersurface dans une variété symplectique.

Résumé. Soit Σ une hypersurface close en variété symplectique, Il est de montrer que Il existe sur Σ une chord orbital de hamiltonien.

Keywords Symplectic geometry, stable hypersurfaces, chords.
2000MR Subject Classification 32Q65,53D35,53D12

1 Introduction and results

Let Σ be a smooth oriented manifold of dimension $2n-1$ in a $2n-$dimensional symplectic manifold (M,ω), here ω is the symplectic form. We call $(\Sigma,\omega|\Sigma)$ a *Hamiltonian structure*. Then there exists a characteristic vectorfield X_Σ so called Hamilton vector field defined by $i_{X_\Sigma}\omega|\Sigma\equiv 0$. The line bundle l generated by X_Σ is called characteristic line bundle. The line bundle l is uniquely determined by $\omega|\Sigma$. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \Sigma$ be a $(n-1)$ dimensional submanifold, if $\mathcal{L}$ is transversal to X_Σ and $\omega|\mathcal{L} = 0$, we call $\mathcal{L}$ Legendre submanifold. A Hamilton-Arnold chord in Σ is a smooth path $x : [0, T] \to \Sigma, T > 0$ with $\dot{x}(t) = X_\Sigma(x(t))\ for\ t \in (0, T)$ and $x(0), x(T) \in \mathcal{L}$.

A Hamiltonian hypersurface $(\Sigma, \Omega|\Sigma)$ is called *stable*(see[10]) if there exists a diffeomorphism $\Psi : (-1, 1) \times \Sigma \to U$ onto some open neighbourhood U of Σ such that $\Psi(0, x) = x$ for all $x \in \Sigma$, and in addition $T\Psi_\delta(l_\Sigma) = l_{\Sigma_\delta}$ for all $\delta \in (-1, 1)$, $\Psi_\delta := \Psi(\delta, \cdot)$ and $\Sigma_\delta = \Psi(\{\delta\} \times \Sigma)$.

Example Let (Σ, λ) be a contact manifold with contact form λ of dimension $2n-1$, then a Legendrian submanifold is a submanifold $\mathcal{L}$ of Σ, which is $(n-1)$dimensional and everywhere tangent to the contact structure $\ker \lambda$. Then Σ is a stable hypersurface in its symplectization and $\mathcal{L}$ is a Legendre submanifold in Hamilton case. In this case Arnold in [3] raised the conjecture: Let λ_0 be the standard tight contact form $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1dy_1 - y_1dx_1 + x_2dy_2 - y_2dx_2)$ on the three sphere $S^3 = \{(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \in R^4 | x_1^2 + y_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_2^2 = 1\}$. If $f : S^3 \to (0, \infty)$ is a smooth function and $\mathcal{L}$ is a Legendrian knot in S^3, then there is a characteristic chord for $(f\lambda_0, \mathcal{L})$. This conjecture was discussed in [1, 7]. In [11], it is first observed that the evolution of Legendre submanifold under Reeb flow forms a Lagrangian submanifold and then Gromov's theory on $J-$holomorphic disk with boundary in a Lagrangian submanifold can apply. Unfortunately, the detail of proof in [11] contains a gap. This gap was filled by Mohnke's closing Lagrangian submanifold in [12]. Mohnke's improvement is crucial to fill the gap.

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and $h(t, x)(= h_t(x))$ a compactly supported smooth function on $M \times [0, 1]$. Assume that the segment $[0, 1]$ is endowed with time coordinate t. For every function h define the $(time-dependent)\ Hamiltonian\ vector\ field\ X_{h_t}$ by the equation:

$$dh_t(\eta) = \omega(\eta, X_{h_t})\quad for\ every\ \eta \in TM \tag{1.1}$$

The flow g_h^t generated by the field X_{h_t} is called $Hamiltonian\ flow$ and its time one map g_h^1 is called $Hamiltonian\ diffeomorphism$.

Now assume that H be a time independent smooth function on M and X_H its induced vector field.

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. Let J be the almost complex structure tamed by ω, i.e., $\omega(v, Jv) > 0$ for $v \in TM$. Let $\mathcal{J}$ the space of all tame almost complex structures.

Definition 1.1 *Let*

$$s(M,\omega,J)=\inf\{\int_{S^2} f^*\omega>0|f:S^2\to M\ is\ J-holomorphic\}$$

Definition 1.2 *Let*

$$s(M,\omega)=\sup_{J\in\mathcal{J}} l(M,\omega,J)$$

Let W be a submanifold in M.

Definition 1.3 *Let*

$$l(M,W,\omega)=\inf\{|\int_{D^2} f^*\omega|>0|f:(D^2,\partial D^2)\to(M,W)\}$$

Theorem 1.1 *Let (M,ω) be a closed compact symplectic manifold or a manifold convex at infinity and $M\times C$ be a symplectic manifold with symplectic form $\omega\oplus\sigma$, here (C,σ) standard symplectic plane and $B_{r_0}(0)\subset C$ the closed ball with radius r_0. Let Σ be a smooth stable hypersurface in $M\times B_{r_0}(0)$, X_H its Hamilton vector field, and $\mathcal{L}\subset\Sigma$ a Legendre submanifold. Assume that Let $2\pi r_0^2<min\{s(M,\omega),l(M,\mathcal{L},\omega)\}$. Let η_t be the hamilton flow of X_H. Assume that $W=\cup_{t\in(-\infty,\infty)}\eta_t(\mathcal{L})$ is bounded in Σ. Then there exists at least one chord of X_H connecting $\mathcal{L}$ on Σ.*

Let (P,ω) be a close symplectic manifold or a manifold convex at infinity and $H(t,x)(=H_t(x))$ a compactly supported smooth function on $P\times[0,1]$. Assume that the segment $[0,1]\subset S^1$ is endowed with time coordinate t. As in [9], we define a Hamiltonian $K:P\times S^1\times R$ by $K(v,t,b)=H_t(v)+b$. Then $K^{-1}(0)$ is a stable hypersurface. Let L be a close Lagrangian in P, then $L=L\times\{0\}\times\{0\}$ is a Legendre submanifold in $K^{-1}(0)$. One easily check $L\cap g_{t_0}(L)\neq\emptyset$ for some $t_0>0$, i.e., there exists a chord in this case. It seems that the conditions in Theorem 1.1 can be weakened.

Theorem 1.2 *Let (M,ω) be an exact symplectic manifold, i.e., $\omega=d\alpha$ for some $1-form\ \alpha$. Assume that (M,ω) is convex at infinity(see[8]). Let H be a time independent smooth function on M and X_H its induced vector field. Let $\Sigma=H^{-1}(c)$ be a smooth compact stable hypersurface in M and there exists a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism h such that $h(\Sigma)\cap\Sigma=\emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{L}\subset\Sigma$ be a Legendre submanifold. Assume that , $l(M,\mathcal{L},\omega)\}=\infty$. Then there exists at least one chord of X_H connecting $\mathcal{L}$ on Σ.*

Corollary 1.1 *Let M be any open manifold and $(T^*M, d\alpha)$ be its cotangent bundle. Assume that H be a time independent smooth function on T^*M and X_H its induced vector field. Let $\Sigma = H^{-1}(c)$ be a smooth close stable hypersurface in T^*M and Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \Sigma$ be a Legendre submanifold. Assume that , $l(M, \mathcal{L}, \omega)\} = \infty$. Then there exists at least one chord of X_H connecting $\mathcal{L}$ on Σ.*

Note that any bounded open set in T^*S^1 can be symplectically embedded in C as bounded open set,by Theorem1.1, we have

Corollary 1.2 *Let M be any close manifold and $(T^*(M \times S^1), d\alpha)$ be the cotangent bundle of $M \times S^1$. Assume that H be a time independent smooth function on $T^*(M \times S^1)$ and X_H its induced vector field. Let $\Sigma = H^{-1}(c)$ be a smooth close stable hypersurface in $T^*(M \times S^1)$ and Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \Sigma$ be a Legendre submanifold. Assume that , $l(M, \mathcal{L}, \omega)\} = \infty$. Then there exists at least one chord of X_H connecting $\mathcal{L}$ on Σ.*

Theorem 1.1-1.2 can be generalized to the products of symplectic manifolds in Theorem 1.1-1.2. The proofs of Theorem1.1-1.2 is same as in [11, 12].

2 Lagrangian Non-Squeezing

Theorem 2.1 *([13])Let (M, ω) be a closed compact symplectic manifold or a manifold convex at infinity and $M \times C$ be a symplectic manifold with symplectic form $\omega \oplus \sigma$, here (C, σ) standard symplectic plane. Let $2\pi r_0^2 < s(M, \omega)$ and $B_{r_0}(0) \subset C$ the closed disk with radius r_0. If W is a close Lagrangian manifold in $M \times B_{r_0}(0)$, then*

$$l(M, W, \omega) < 2\pi r_0^2$$

This can be considered as an Lagrangian version of Gromov's symplectic non-squeezing([8]).

Corollary 2.1 *(Gromov[8])Let (V', ω') be an exact symplectic manifold with restricted contact boundary and $\omega' = d\alpha'$. Let $V' \times C$ be a symplectic manifold with symplectic form $\omega' \oplus \sigma = d\alpha = d(\alpha' \oplus \alpha_0)$, here (C, σ) standard symplectic plane. If W is a close exact Lagrangian submanifold, then $l(V' \times C, W, \omega) = \infty$, i.e., there does not exist any close exact Lagrangian submanifold in $V' \times C$.*

Corollary 2.2 *Let L^n be a close Lagrangian in R^{2n} and $L(R^{2n}, L^n, \omega) = 2\pi r_0^2 > 0$, then L^n can not be embedded in $B_{r_0}(0)$ as a Lagrangian submanifold.*

3 Construction Of Lagrangian

Let (V, ω) be a symplectic manifold and Σ be a oriented hypersurface in M. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a close isotropic or Legendre submanifold contained in Σ, i.e., $\omega|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$. Let H be a smooth function such that $\Sigma = H^{-1}(0)$ and X_H be its Hamilton vectorfield. Let η_s be the Hamilton flow near Σ induced by X_H. Let S be a smooth compact hypersurface in V which intersects the hypersurface Σ transversally and contains $\mathcal{L}$. Furthermore, S is transversal to the hamilton vector field X_H. Let $s(x)$ be the time to S of hamilton flow η_s which is well defined in the neighbourhood $U_{\delta_1}(S)$. Let X_S be the Hamilton vector field of S on U_{δ_1} and ξ_t be the flow of X_S defined on U_{δ_1}. Since $X_S(H) = \{s, H\} = -\{H, s\} = -X_H(s) = -1 \neq 0$, here $\{,\}$ is the Poisson bracket, so X_S is transversal to Σ. Then, there exists δ_0 such that $\xi_t(x)$ exists for any $x \in U_{\delta_0}(S \cap \Sigma)$, $|t| \leq 100\delta_0$. Let $L_0 = \cup_{t \leq \delta_0} \xi_t(\mathcal{L})$. One has

Lemma 3.1 *L_0 is a Lagrangian submanifold in (V, ω).*

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} & F : [-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times \mathcal{L} \to \bar{Q}_\delta \\ & F(t, l) = \xi_t(l)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} F^*\omega &= F_t^*\omega + i_{X_S}\Omega \wedge dt \\ &= 0 - (ds|S) \wedge dt = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

This checks that $L_0 = F([-\delta_0, \delta_0]) \times \mathcal{L})$ is Lagrangian submanifold.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $M = \Sigma \cap S$ and $\omega_M = \omega|M$. Then there exist $\delta_0 > 0$ and a neighbourhood M_0 of $\mathcal{L}$ in M such that $G : M_0 \times [-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times [-\delta_0, \delta_0] \to V$ defined by $G(m, t, s) = \eta_s(\xi_t(m))$ is an symplectic embedding.*

$$G^*\omega = \omega_M + dt \wedge ds \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. It is obvious.

Let $U_T = G(M_T \times [-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times [-T, T])$. If there does not exist any orbit of X_H connecting L_0 in a neighbourhood $Q_\delta(\Sigma)$ of Σ and M_T is a very small neighbourhood of $\mathcal{L}$, then $s(x)$ and X_S is well defined on U_T. Therefore, there exists δ_T such that the flow $\xi_t(x)$ of X_S exists for any $x \in U_T$, $|t| \leq 100\delta_T$. Let $U_0 = U_{\delta_0}(S \cap \Sigma) \cap U_T$, $U_k = \eta_{k\delta_0}(U_0) \subset U_T$, $k = 1, ..., k_T$. Let $X_k = X_S|U_k$. Let $\bar{X}_k = \eta_{k\delta_0 *} X_0$. We claim that $\bar{X}_k = X_k$. Since $s(x)$ and $H(x)$ is defined on U_T and $\{H, s\} = 1$, so $\xi_t(\eta_s(x)) = \eta_s(\xi_t(x))$ for $x \in U_T$. Differentiate it, we get $X_S(\eta_s(x)) = \eta_{s*} X_S(x)$. Take $s = k$, one proves $\bar{X}_k = X_k$. Recall that the flow $\xi_t(x)$ of X_S exists for any $x \in U_{\delta_0}(S \cap \Sigma)$, $|t| \leq 100\delta_0$. So, the flow $\bar{\xi}^k_t(x)$ of $\bar{X}_k$ exists for any $x \in U_k$, $|t| \leq 100\delta_0$. Therefore, the flow $\xi^k_t(x)$ of X_k exists for any $x \in U_k$, $|t| \leq 100\delta_0$. This Proves that the flow $\xi_t(x)$ of X_S exists for any $x \in U_T$, $|t| \leq 100\delta_0$.

Theorem 3.1 *(Long Darboux theorem) Let* $M = \Sigma \cap S$, $\omega_M = \omega|M$. *Let* M_0 *as in Lemma 3.2. Let* $(U'_T, \omega') = (M_T \times [-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times [-T, T], \omega_M + dH' \wedge ds')$. *If there does not exist any orbit of* X_H *connecting* L_0 *in* $Q_\delta(\Sigma)$, *then there exists a symplectic embedding* $G : U'_T \to Q_\delta$ *defined by* $G(m, H', s') = \eta_{s'}(\xi_{H'}(m))$ *such that*

$$G^*\omega = \omega_M + dH' \wedge ds'. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. We follow the Arnold's proof on Darboux's theorem in [2]. Take a Darboux chart U on M, we assume that $\omega_M| = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} dp'_i \wedge dq'_i$. Now computing the Poisson brackets $\{,\}^*$ of $(p'_1, q'_1; ..., p'_{2n-1}, q'_{2n-1}; H', s')$ for $G^*\omega$ on U'_T. Let $P'_i(G(p'_1, q'_1; ..., p'_{2n-1}, q'_{2n-1}; H', s')) = P'_i(\xi_{H'}\eta_{s'}(p', q')) = p'_i$, and $Q'_i(G(p'_1, q'_1; ..., p'_{2n-1}, q'_{2n-1}; H', s')) = Q'_i(\xi_{H'}\eta_{s'}(p', q')) = q'_i$, $i = 1, ..., 2n-1$. $\{H', s'\}^* = G^*\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial H'}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s'}) = \omega(G_* \frac{\partial}{\partial H'}, G_* \frac{\partial}{\partial s'}) = \omega(X_H, X_S) = 1$, $\{H', p'_i\}^* = G^*\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial H'}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p'_i}) = \omega(G_* \frac{\partial}{\partial H'}, G_* \frac{\partial}{\partial p'_i}) = \omega(X_H, X_{P'_i}) = -X_{P'_i}(H) = 0$. Similary, $\{H', q'_i\}^* = 0$. Similarly, $\{s', H'\}^* = 1$, $\{s', p'_i\}^* = 0$,$\{s', q'_i\}^* = 0$. Note that $\omega = \xi^*_t \eta^*_s \omega$, so $\{p'_i, q'_j\}^* = G^*\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial p'_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q'_j}) = \omega(G_* \frac{\partial}{\partial p'_i}, G_* \frac{\partial}{\partial q'_j}) = \omega(\xi_{H'*}\eta_{s'*} \frac{\partial}{\partial p'_i}, \xi_{H'*}\eta_{s'*} \frac{\partial}{\partial q'_j}) = \xi^*_{H'}\eta^*_{s'}\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial p'_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q'_j}) = \omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial p'_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q'_j}) = \omega_M(\frac{\partial}{\partial p'_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q'_j}) = \delta_{ij}$. This shows that the Poisson brackets $\{,\}^*$ is same as the Poisson brackets $\{,\}'$ for ω'. So, $\omega' = G^*\omega$. This finishes the proof.

If $l(M, \mathcal{L}, \omega)\} = +\infty$,take a disk M_0 enclosed by the circle E_0 which is parametrized by $t \in [0, \delta_0]$ in $(s', H') - \mathit{plane}$ such that $M_0 \subset [-2s_0, 2s_0] \times$

$[0,\varepsilon]$ and $area(M_0) \geq 2s_0\varepsilon$. If $0 < l(M,\mathcal{L},\omega)\} < +\infty$,take a disk M_0 as before with $area(M_0) = kl(M,\mathcal{L},\omega)\}$, k is an integer given later.

Now one checks that $L = G(\mathcal{L} \times E_0)$ satisfy

$$\omega|L = G^*\omega(\mathcal{L} \times E_0) \;\; = \;\; dH' \wedge ds'|E_0 = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

So, L is a Lagrangian submanifold.

Lemma 3.3 *If there does not exist any orbit connecting L_0 in (Q_δ, X_H), then L is a close Lagrangian submanifold. Moreover*

$$l(V, L, \omega) = min\{l(M,\mathcal{L},\omega), area(M_0)\} \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. It is obvious that F is a Lagrangian embedding. If the disk D with boundary circle C homotopic to the disk D_1 with boundary $C_1 \subset \mathcal{L} \times s_0$ then we compute

$$\int_D F^*(\omega) = \int_{D_1} F^*(\omega) = k_1 l(M,\mathcal{L},\omega)\},\; k_1 \textit{ is an integer.} \tag{3.7}$$

If the circle C homotopic to $C_1 \subset l_0 \times S^1$ then we compute

$$\int_D F^*\omega = \int_{E_0} F^*\omega = n(area(M_0)). \tag{3.8}$$

This proves the Lemma.

3.1 Gromov's figure eight construction

First we note that the construction of section 3.1 holds for any symplectic manifold. Now let (V', ω') be an exact symplectic manifold with $\omega' = d\alpha'$. Let $\Sigma = H^{-1}(0)$ be a regular and close smooth hypersurface in V'. H is a time-independent Hamilton function. If there does not exist any orbit of X_H connecting L_0 in $Q_\delta(\Sigma)$, one can construct the Lagrangian submanifold L as in section 3.1, let $W' = L$. Let $h_t = h(t,\cdot) : V' \to V'$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$ be a Hamiltonian isotopy of V' induced by hamilton fuction H_t such that $h_1(\Sigma) \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$, $|H_t| \leq C_0$. Then $F'_t = h_t : W' \to V'$ be an isotopy of Lagrangian embeddings. As in [8], we can use symplectic figure eight trick invented by Gromov to construct a Lagrangian submanifold W in $V = V' \times R^2$ through the Lagrange isotopy F' in V', i.e., we have

Proposition 3.1 *Let* V', W' *and* F' *as above. Then there exists a weakly exact Lagrangian embedding* $F : W' \times S^1 \to V' \times R^2$ *with* $W = F(W' \times S^1)$ *is contained in* $V' \times B_R(0)$, *here* $4\pi R^2 = 8C_0$ *and*

$$l(V, W, \omega) = l(V', W', \omega'). \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Similar to [8, $2.3B_3'$].

Example. Let M be an open manifold and $(T^*M, p_i dq_i)$ be the cotangent bundle of open manifold with the Liouville form $p_i dq_i$. Since M is open, there exists a function $g : M \to R$ without critical point. The translation by $tTdg$ along the fibre gives a hamilton isotopy of $T^*M : h_t^T(q,p) = (q, p+tTdg(q))$, so for any given compact set $K \subset T^*M$, there exists $T = T_K$ such that $h_1^T(K) \cap K = \emptyset$.

4 Nonlinear Fredholm Alternative

Take $T_0 > 0$ such that $l(V, W, \omega) > 2\pi r_0^2$. Assume that on $H^{-1}(0)$ there does not exist any orbit with time $T \leq T_0$ connecting L_0, then by the results in section 3, we have a close Lagrangian submanifold $W = L$ or $W = F(W' \times S^1)$ contained in $V = M \times B_{r_0}(0)$ or $V \times B_{r_0}(0)$. By Lagrangian non-squeezing theorem, i.e., Theorem 2.1, we have

$$l(V, W, \omega) \leq 2\pi r_0^2. \tag{4.1}$$

This is a contradiction. This contradiction shows that there is a orbit with $T \leq T_0$ connecting L_0.

5 Proof on Theorems

Proposition 5.1 *(see[6])Let* (V, ω) *be a symplectic manifold. Let* Σ *be a oriented hypersurface in* M. *Then* $(\Sigma, \omega|\Sigma)$ *is stable if and only if there exists a* $1-$*form and a characteristic vector field* R *such that*

$$\lambda(R) = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad i_R(d\lambda) = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Set $\tilde{\omega} = \omega|\Sigma + da\lambda$ on $\tilde{U} = \Sigma \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, then by Darboux-Weinstein theorem(see[4]), $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\omega})$ is symplectomorphic to a neighbourhood U of Σ in M. Note that the characteristic vector field $R_a = R$ on $\Sigma_a = \Sigma \times \{a\}$.

Proposition 5.2 *Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \Sigma$ be a closed Legendre submanifold,i.e., $\omega|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$. Let $H = a$ be a Hamilton function on $\tilde{U}$ and X_a its induced Hamilton vector field. Let S_0 be a smooth hypersurface in Σ which contains $\mathcal{L}$. Let $S = S_0 \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Let $s(x)$ be the arrival time function of x to S. Let X_S be the induced Hamilton vector field and ξ_t the flow of X_S. Then $L_0 = \cup_{|t| \leq \varepsilon} \xi_t(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{L}_a = \mathcal{L} \times \{a\} \subset \Sigma_a$ is a close Legendre submanifold in Σ_a.*

Proof. It is obvious.

These two propositions finish the proofs of theorems.

References

[1] Abbas, C., Finite energy surface and the chord probems, Duke. Math.J., Vol.96,No.2,1999,pp.241-316.

[2] Arnold, V. I., Mathematical Method Of Classical Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, 1978.

[3] Arnold, V. I., First steps in symplectic topology, Russian Math. Surveys 41(1986),1-21.

[4] Arnold, V.& Givental, A., Symplectic Geometry, in: Dynamical Systems IV, edited by V. I. Arnold and S. P. Novikov, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[5] Audin, M& Lafontaine, J., eds.: Holomorphic Curves in Symplectic Geometry. Progr. Math. 117, (1994) Birkhaüser, Boston.

[6] Eliashberg,Y., Kim,S.& Polterovich, M., Geometry of contact transformations and domains: orderability vs.squeezing. math.SG/0511658.

[7] Givental, A. B., Nonlinear generalization of the Maslov index, Adv. in Sov. Math., V.1, AMS, Providence, RI, 1990.

[8] Gromov, M., Pseudoholomorphic Curves in Symplectic manifolds. Inv. Math. 82(1985), 307-347.

[9] Hofer, H.& Viterbo, C., The Weinstein conjecture in the presence of holomorphic spheres, Comm. Pure Appl. Math.45(1992)583-622.

[10] Hofer, H., Zehnder,E., Symplectic invariants and Hamiltonian dynamics Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1994

[11] Ma, R., Legendrian submanifolds and A Proof on Chord Conjecture, Boundary Value Problems, Integral Equations and Related Problems, edited by J K Lu & G C Wen, World Scientific, 135-142.

[12] Mohnke, K.: Holomorphic Disks and the Chord Conjecture, Annals of Math., (2001), 154:219-222.

[13] Polterovich, L.: Symplectic displacement energy for Lagrangian submanifolds, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 13(1993), 357-367.